

# CARE: Developing a Clinical Composite Score for mNSCLC And co-design solutions to bridge Metropolitan vs Reional gap

**Authors:** Stout D, Bell LA, Pitman E, Tuohill M, McCann K, Dean S, Faisal W.  
*Grampians Health, Ballarat, Australia*

Corresponding author: *Dr Wasek Faisal* [Wasek.Faisal@gh.org.au](mailto:Wasek.Faisal@gh.org.au)



# INTRODUCTION

- Lung cancer is the **fifth** most common cancer in Australia<sup>1</sup>
- Despite its prevalence it has the highest mortality rate with a 5-year survival of only **24%**<sup>1</sup>
- There is also an absolute difference of **6%** in 5-year survival between patients in metropolitan areas versus those in regional/rural areas<sup>2</sup>
- The **CARE** CCS (clinical composite score) aims to bridge this gap for patients with mNSCLC

1. <https://www.canceraustralia.gov.au/cancer-types/lung-cancer/statistics>

2. <https://ncci.canceraustralia.gov.au/outcomes/relative-survival-rate/5-year-relative-survival-diagnosis>

# INTRODUCTION

- **Challenges for patients living in regional/rural areas include:**
  - Increased distance to treating centre
  - Delayed referral and/or diagnosis
  - Reduced availability of optimal imaging e.g. FDG-PET
  - Poor compliance to treatment
  - Paucity of clinical trials

# INTRODUCTION

- **mNSCLC also demonstrates multiple biological differences unique to each patient's cancer. These include:**
- Well-differentiated versus poorly differentiated disease
- Histological heterogeneity e.g. TTF-1 positive/negative
- PD-L1 expression percentage variation
- Metabolic activity rate variation
- Presence of visceral/CNS metastases at diagnosis

# INTRODUCTION

- The **CARE** CCS will encapsulate these biological variations as well as patient and health service factor differences
- This composite score will aid prognostication and guide the optimal treatment plan for each patient
- Identifying these more at-risk patients will aim to lead to optimization of resource allocation and changes at a systemic level

# CARE AIMS

1. Develop a Clinical Composite Score for patients with mNSCLC, incorporating tumour factors, patient factors and health service-related service-factors, to identify at-risk patients of a poorer outcome
2. Co-design a solution (with consumer representatives) in the regional setting, to address issues identified through the CCS, to propose system-level change
3. Propose a validation study and methodology for CCS in a larger, prospective, multi-centre study



# METHODOLOGY

- Retrospective analysis of over 300 patients treated for lung cancer at Grampians Health, starting from July 2017
- This analysis will aim to identify predictors of lung cancer outcomes across three main areas
  1. Clinical factors
  2. Patient factors
  3. Health service factors



# METHODOLOGY

- Measurements will include:
- **Clinical independent variables** e.g. PDL1 (negative, >1% and >50%), TTF1 (positive/negative)
- **Non-clinical independent variables** e.g. distance from health service, socio-economic index for area (SEIFA), Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander (yes/no)
- **Dependent variables** e.g. adherence/receipt of guideline-concordant treatment, timeliness to care, progression free survival (PFS), overall survival (OS)
- **Covariates** e.g. age, sex, smoking status, visceral metastasis, central nervous system metastasis, tumour differentiation, mean SUV on FDG-PET scan.



# METHODOLOGY

- *a priori* power analysis was conducted revealing a total sample of n=293 participants required to achieve a power of 0.95
- To describe clinical and non-clinical variables, means and standard deviations will be reported. T-tests and chi-square will be used to report differences between groups
- To explore the association between independent and dependent variables, univariate and multivariate regression models with significant variables in univariate analysis will be conducted
- All regression models will be adjusted for covariates a priori

# METHODOLOGY

- Variables which remain significant ( $p < 0.05$ ) after multivariate analysis will be used to create a composite score to predict lung cancer outcomes
- The score will use variables identified as significantly associated with PFS or OS, allocating weighted points based on size of regression coefficients as required
- This will lead to the creation of a developed tool to derive a clinical composite score

# METHODOLOGY

- Any issues identified from this analysis will be discussed in consultation with regional consumers
- Consumers include lung cancer patients, their families and consumer awareness groups
- This will facilitate co-design of any required solutions
- The completed methodology and protocol could then be trialled in a larger multi-centre study

# SUMMARY

- The **CARE** clinical composite score aims to address the negative imbalance towards patients living in regional/rural Australia
- This score will readily identify patients at risk of poorer outcome for treatment of their lung cancer and deliver optimal personalised healthcare
- With the input of patients, their families, and local healthcare providers, this will hopefully lead to positive systemic changes for patients with lung cancer both at a regional and ultimately national level

